PUBLICMATTER

1 2 3 4 5 6	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ALAN B. GORDON, No. 125642 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL TIMOTHY G. BYER, No. 172472 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1325		
8			
9	STATE BAR COURT		
10	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES		
11			
12	In the Matter of:) Case No. 12-O-17329 12-O-17710		
13	JOHN VARGAS, 12-O-18115 No. 270181,		
14	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES		
15	A Member of the State Bar		
16	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!		
17 18	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:		
19	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED;		
20	(2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN		
21	THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;		
22	(4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE		
23	OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT		
24	FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.		
25 26	///		
27	/// ///		
28	/// kwiktag* 152 147 033		

kwiktag 152 147 033

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. John Vargas ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 1, 2010, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 12-O-17329 Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

- 2. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6103, by wilfully disobeying or violating an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear, as follows:
- 3. On or about May 25, 2012 Respondent filed a case in the Los Angeles Superior Court, case no. BC485444, *Gholian v. Selen RMOF REO Acquisition* (the "Gholian Case").
- 4. On or about May 29, 2012, the court sent a notice to Respondent ordering him to appear at a July 27, 2012 Order to Show Cause Hearing (the "July 27 OSC") regarding his failure to file proof of service of the summons and complaint in the Gholian Case. Respondent received the notice.
- 5. Also on or about May 29, 2012, the court sent a "Notice of Case Management Conference" to Respondent. The notice informed Respondent that a case management conference was to be held in the Gholian Case on September 12, 2012. Respondent received the notice.
- 6. On or about July 26, 2012, court staff informed Respondent by telephone of the July 27 OSC.
- 7. Respondent failed to appear at the July 27, 2012 hearing. The judge imposed a \$250 sanction for Respondent's failure to appear at the July 27, 2012 hearing. On or about July 27, 2012, the court mailed Respondent a copy of the minute order ordering the \$250 sanction. Respondent received the minute order.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent.

1 COUNT THREE 2 Case No. 12-O-17710 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) 3 [Failure to Perform with Competence] 4 18. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), by intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failing to perform legal services with competence, as follows: 7 19. On or about June 25, 2012, Tom Aubry ("Aubry") employed Respondent to file a 8 Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition on his behalf, and to represent him in that proceeding. 20. On or about June 28, 2012, Respondent filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition on 10 behalf of Aubry. 11 21. On or about July 18, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed Aubry's Chapter 7 12 bankruptcy petition because Respondent failed to file the required schedules, statements, and 13 plan. 22. 14 On or about August 9, 2012, Respondent filed a second Chapter 7 bankruptcy 15 petition on behalf of Aubry. 16 23. On or about July 18, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed Aubry's second 17 Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition because Respondent failed to file the required schedules, 18 statements, and plan. 19 24. By failing to file the proper documents, resulting in the dismissal of the Chapter 7 petitions, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with 20 21 competence. 22 COUNT FOUR 23 Case No. 12-O-17710 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) 24 [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation] 25. 25 Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i), by 26 failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, as 27 follows: 28 26. The allegations of Count Three are incorporated by reference.

foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:

1	37.	The allegations of Count Five are incorporated by reference.			
2	38.	By failing to take any action on Pina's behalf, Respondent effectively withdrew			
3	from her representation without notice.				
4	39.	By withdrawing from representation without completing the work he was hired to			
5	do and without notice to the client, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take				
6	reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.				
7		COUNT SEVEN			
8 9		Case No. 12-O-18115 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]			
10	40.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by			
11	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:				
12	41.	The allegations of Counts Five and Six are incorporated by reference.			
13	42.	On or about June 15, 2012, Pina paid Respondent \$500 in advanced fees.			
14	43.	At the time Respondent withdrew from Pina's representation, he had earned none			
15	of the advanced fee.				
16	44.	Respondent never refunded any of the \$500 to Pina.			
17	45.	By failing to refund the \$500 to Pina, Respondent failed to refund promptly any			
18	part of a fee	paid in advance that has not been earned.			
19		COUNT EIGHT			
20 21		Case No. 12-O-18115 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]			
22	46.	Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i), by			
23	failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, as				
24	follows:				
25	47.	The allegations of Counts Five through Seven are incorporated by reference.			
26	48.	On or about November 12, 2012, Pina submitted a complaint to the State Bar			
27	alleging professional misconduct by Respondent in her case.				

	11			
1	49.	On or about March 4, 2013, a State Bar investigator mailed a letter to Respondent		
2	requesting a written response, not later than March 20, 2013, to the allegations of Pina's			
3	complaint.			
4	50.	On or about April 18, 2013, a State Bar investigator mailed a second letter to		
5	Respondent requesting a written response, not later than April 24, 2013, to the allegations of			
6	Pina's complaint.			
7	51.	Respondent never responded to the allegations of Pina's complaint.		
8	52.	By failing to respond to the allegations of Pina's complaint, Respondent failed to		
9	cooperate and	d participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent.		
10	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!			
11	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.			
12				
13				
14				
15		STATE COCKI.		
16		NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!		
17	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE VOLUMAY RESURFECT TO THE RAYMENT OF COSTS			
18	DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND			
19	PROF	FESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.		
20		Respectfully submitted,		
21		THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL		
22				
23				
24	DATED: Jun	By: Timothy G. Byer		
25		Deputy Trial Counsel		
26				
27				

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 12-O-17329; 12-O-17710, 12-O-18115

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7196 9008 9111 0444 0060, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

John Vargas 4129 Main St Suite 202 Riverside, CA 92501

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: <u>June 21, 2013</u>

Signed:

Max Carranz
Declarant